

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully solicited.

Claims 1, 4 through 11, and 13 through 21 are pending, with Claims 1, 11, and 21 being independent. Claims 11 through 20 were withdrawn from consideration. Claim 1 has been amended. Claim 12 has been cancelled without prejudice.

The Advisory Action stated that the color range in cancelled Claim 3 was relating to the second sub-pixel while amended Claim 1 indicates that the color range is for the first sub-pixel. Applicants respectfully traverse the foregoing. Applicants wish to point out that the antecedent basis for the expression "the range within which the color changes" in Claim 3 was the expression referring to the *first* sub-pixel in Claim 1: "a range within which a chromatic color assumed by light passing through the medium changes" (see also, e.g., p. 16, lines 7-10).

In addition, the Advisory Action stated that Van Aerle, et al. would be combined with Sakamoto, et al. since Van Aerle, et al. discloses a green filter and one would be motivated to combine the two documents and the combination would not destroy the primary reference. Applicants respectfully traverse the foregoing.

Applicants respectfully wish to emphasize that Sakamoto, et al. combines (a) pixels which display color information and (b) pixels which display gradation information in monochrome. Applicants submit that since Sakamoto, et al.'s gradation information should be displayed in monochrome, any color filter cannot, in Applicants' view, be applied to the pixels for gradation information, so the artisan could not and would not apply any green filter from Van Aerle, et al. to Sakamoto, et al. as proposed in the Official Action, assuming, *arguendo*, that they could be combined.

The dependent claims are also submitted to be patentable because they set forth additional aspects of the present invention and are dependent from independent claims discussed above. Therefore, separate and individual consideration of each dependent claim is respectfully requested.

Applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Daniel S. Glueck/
Daniel S. Glueck
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 37,838

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

DSG/jjr

FCIS_WS 3370890v1